UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION,) CASE NO.
Plaintiff,)
v. PPG INDUSTRIES, INC., successor of REVOCOAT,) COMPLAINT AND JURY DEMAND
Defendant.)))
)))

NATURE OF THE ACTION

This is an action under Title I of the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 and Title I of the Civil Rights Act of 1991 to correct unlawful employment practices on the basis of disability and to provide appropriate relief to Damon Hendrix. As alleged with greater particularity in paragraph 13 below, the Commission alleges that Defendant, PPG Industries, Inc. violated the Americans with Disabilities Act by denying Hendrix a reasonable accommodation and terminating him because of his disability.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

1. Jurisdiction of this Court is invoked pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 451, 1331, 1337, 1343, and 1345. This action is authorized and instituted pursuant to Section 107(a) of the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 ("ADA"), 42 U.S.C.

- § 12117(a), which incorporates by reference Sections 706(f)(1) and (3) of Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 ("Title VII"), 42 U.S.C. § 2000e-5(f)(1) and (3), and pursuant to Section 102 of the Civil Rights Act of 1991, 42 U.S.C. § 1981a.
- 2. The employment practices alleged to be unlawful were committed within the jurisdiction of the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Michigan, Southern Division.

PARTIES

- 3. Plaintiff, the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission (the "Commission"), is the agency of the United States of America charged with the administration, interpretation and enforcement of Title I of the ADA and is expressly authorized to bring this action by Section 107(a) of the ADA, 42 U.S.C. § 12117(a), which incorporates by reference Sections 706(f)(1) and (3) of Title VII, 42 U.S.C. § 2000e-5(f)(1).
- 4. At all relevant times, Defendant, PPG Industries, Inc. (the "Employer"), has continuously been a Pennslyvania corporation doing business in the State of Michigan and the City of Ferndale and has continuously had at least fifteen (15) employees.
- 5. At all relevant times, Defendant Employer has continuously been an employer engaged in an industry affecting commerce under Section 101(5) of the ADA, 42 U.S.C. § 12111(5) and Section 101(7) of the ADA, 42 U.S.C. § 12111(7), which incorporates by reference Sections 701(g) and (h) of Title VII, 42 U.S.C. §§ 2000e(g) and (h).

6. At all relevant times, Defendant Employer has been a covered entity under Section 101(2) of the ADA, 42 U.S.C. § 12111(2).

ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEDURES

- 7. More than thirty days prior to the institution of this lawsuit, Damon Hendrix filed a charge with the Commission alleging violations of the ADA by Defendant.
- 8. On May 10, 2017, the Commission issued to Defendant a Letter of Determination finding reasonable cause to believe that the ADA was violated and inviting Defendant to join with the Commission in informal methods of conciliation to endeavor to eliminate the unlawful employment practices and provide appropriate relief.
- 9. The Commission engaged in communications with Defendant Employer to provide Defendant the opportunity to remedy the discriminatory practices described in the Letter of Determination.
- 10. The Commission was unable to secure from Defendant Employer a conciliation agreement acceptable to the Commission.
- 11. On June 16, 2017, the Commission issued to Defendant Employer a

 Notice of Failure of Conciliation advising Defendant that the Commission was
 unable to secure from Defendant a conciliation agreement acceptable to the

 Commission.
- 12. All conditions precedent to the institution of this lawsuit have been fulfilled.

STATEMENT OF CLAIMS

- 13. On or about April 30, 2015, Defendant Employer engaged in unlawful employment practices in violation of Section 102(a) of the ADA, 42 U.S.C. § 12112(a).
 - a. Hendrix is an individual with a disability. He had an "episode of seizure" and was diagnosed with hyperthyroidism and hypertension. His seizures affect his neurological function.
 - In February 2015, Hendrix's supervisor drove him to the emergency room due to his medical condition. Once there, Hendrix had at least two seizures.
 - c. As a result of the seizures, Hendrix was restricted from driving and operating heavy machinery for six months in accordance with Michigan law.
 - d. Defendant provided Hendrix with approximately 3 months of medical leave.
 - e. On or about April 29, 2015, Hendrix tried to return to work by faxing Defendant his updated medical restrictions.
 - f. Defendant violated the ADA by denying Hendrix a reasonable accommodation in the form of altered work duties or additional medical leave. Instead of providing Hendrix a reasonable accommodation, Defendant terminated him on or about April 30, 2015.

- 14. The unlawful employment practices complained of in paragraph 13 above were intentional.
- 15. The unlawful employment practices complained of in paragraph 13 above were done with malice or with reckless indifference to the federally protected rights of Hendrix.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, the Commission respectfully requests that this Court:

- A. Grant a permanent injunction enjoining Defendant Employer, its officers, agents, servants, employees, attorneys, and all persons in active concert or participation with it, from refusing to provide a reasonable accommodation to disabled employees.
- B. Grant a permanent injunction enjoining Defendant Employer, its officers, agents, servants, employees, attorneys, and all persons in active concert or participation with it, from discharging qualified individuals with disabilities who are seeking to return to work with restrictions.
- C. Order Defendant Employer to institute and carry out policies, practices, and programs which provide equal employment opportunities for qualified individuals with disabilities, and which eradicate the effects of its past and present unlawful employment practices.
- D. Order Defendant to make Hendrix whole by providing appropriate back pay with prejudgment interest, in amounts to be determined at trial, and other affirmative relief necessary to eradicate the effects of its unlawful

employment practices, including but not limited to reinstatement and front pay.

- D. Order Defendant Employer to make whole Hendrix by providing compensation for past and future pecuniary losses resulting from the unlawful employment practices described in paragraph 13 above in amounts to be determined at trial.
- E. Order Defendant Employer to make whole Hendrix by providing compensation for past and future nonpecuniary losses resulting from the unlawful practices complained of in paragraph 13 above, including but not limited to emotional pain, suffering, inconvenience and humiliation, in amounts to be determined at trial.
- F. Order Defendant Employer to pay Hendrix punitive damages for its malicious and reckless conduct, as described in paragraph 13 above, in amounts to be determined at trial.
- G. Grant such further relief as the Court deems necessary and proper in the public interest.
 - H. Award the Commission its costs of this action.

JURY TRIAL DEMAND

The Commission requests a jury trial on all questions of fact raised by its complaint.

EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION

KENNETH L. BIRD Regional Attorney

OMAR WEAVER Supervisory Trial Attorney

Dated: July 14, 2017

s/ Nedra Campbell
NEDRA D. CAMPBELL (P58768)
Trial Attorney
DETROIT FIELD OFFICE
Patrick V. McNamara Federal Building
477 Michigan Ave, Room 865
Detroit, Michigan 48226
(313) 226-3410
nedra.campbell@eeoc.gov